

Message

---

**From:** Lawler, Michael (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MICHAEL.LAWLER]  
**Sent:** 2/8/2012 1:14:54 AM  
**To:** Corbett, Kate (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Kate.Corbett];  
Saunders, Della (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Della.Saunders]  
**Subject:** FW: update on Comm. v. [REDACTED]

---

**From:** Williams, Megan (NOR)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2012 7:27 PM  
**To:** Lawler, Michael (DPH)  
**Subject:** Re: update on Comm. v. [REDACTED]

Ok thank you very much for the follow up. I still very much hope it will be a plea but if we are pushed to go forward my hope is I can go forward with just you if Kate's unavailable.

Thank you,  
Megan

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 7, 2012, at 6:42 PM, "Lawler, Michael (DPH)" <[Michael.Lawler@MassMail.State.MA.US](mailto:Michael.Lawler@MassMail.State.MA.US)> wrote:  
ADA Williams,

After speaking to you, I went to the archives to bring up the chemist's notes and saw that Della Saunders is not your custodial chemist. On the summons addressed to me, she is hand noted as a second chemist, but actually the chemist's notes reveal that Kate Corbett was the custodial chemist responsible for the chain of custody, the substance weights and the preliminary tests on the exhibit. I was the gc/ms operator who did the instrument analysis as a confirmation. Della was not a participant.

I will check with Kate Corbett tomorrow and check what her availability is but I cannot speak to her status. At this moment, her notes are beyond my reach. Our protocol precludes me pulling her records. So I don't have a meaningful "discovery" package to send you tonight.

Again, I'll double check Kate's status with her tomorrow and call you between 8:15 am and 8:30

Mike Lawler  
State Lab